



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/475,653	12/30/1999	RONALD M. SMITH	42390.P6384	6654
7590 01/14/2005			EXAMINER	
JAMES M WU			ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J	
BLAKELY SC	OKOLOFF TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLOOR			2161	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025				_

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/475,653	SMITH ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2161			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		orrespondence address			
Peri d fo	r Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 J</u>	ulv 2004.				
		s action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,8,11,12,15,16,20,21 and 25</u> is/s	are pending in the application.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 6, 8, 11-12, 15-16, 20-21 and 25</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	,				
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examino	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	awin rippiioaudii (i 10-102)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This communication is in response to the Amendment filed on July 01, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11-12, 15-16, 20-21 and 25 are presented for examination following the amendment of July 01, 2004.
- 3. The Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of Claims 3-5, 7, 9-10, 13-14, 17-19, 22-24 and 26.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11-12, 15-16, 20-21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed July 01, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combination of both Miller in view of Austin does not teach the limitations of the independent claims 1, 8, 15 and 20 <u>as amended</u>. The Examiner however respectfully disagrees. The Examiner would like to direct the Applicants attention to the new 103 rejection with its new citations to both Miller and Austin mentioned below. The Examiner is referring to the new 103 rejection below In order not to repeat the rejection, and also since the only argument made by the Applicant is in regard to the independent claims as amended (the whole claim).

Application/Control Number: 09/475,653 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-2, 6, 8, 11-12, 15-16, 20-21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott Corey Miller (U.S. Patent No. 6,421,707 and Miller hereinafter) in view of Pamela Sue Austin (U.S. Patent No. 6,157,924 and Austin hereinafter).
- 8. Regarding Claim 1, Miller teaches:

in response to receiving a new email, determining, per a user profile database, if the new email matches a predetermined criterion of when to send notice of a new email (Figure 1, Element 135; see also Col 4, Lines 21-23; see also Col 2, Lines 65-67 through Col 3, Lines 1-5; see also Figure 3, Element No. 395 and corresponding text; see also Figure No's 4a-c and corresponding text; see also Figure No. 4(f), Element No. 412 and corresponding text);

sending the customized notice of the new email via SMS (Figure No. 8, Element No. 721, and corresponding text);

Application/Control Number: 09/475,653

Art Unit: 2161

sending the customized notice of the new email via SMTP (Figure No. 7, Element No. 711, and corresponding text).

Miller reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate the step of generating a customized noticed of the new email per the user profile database. However Miller teaches delivery format and how one of them is more appropriate then the other and how the user may select one based on a preference (Abstract, i.e. The subscriber is then notified by the network of the message (delivery mechanism) and then delivers the message and any multimedia attachments to the message to the subscriber, according to a delivery indication sent by the subscriber (according to the user profile) to the network; Col 3, Lines 33-38; see also Col 5, Lines 8-12, i.e. providing a number of data services; see also Col 4, Lines 49-51; see also Col 2, Lines 58-64, i.e. Delivery system 160 includes interfaces to a variety of delivery systems; see also Col 3, Lines 16-19, i.e. Converter bank subsystem 180 converts an input into an appropriate delivery format prior to its delivery to the subscriber. The delivery format is advantageously specified by the subscriber). However Austin teaches generating a customized noticed of the new email per the user profile database (Abstract; see also Figure No. 3, i.e. Element No. 80a and 80b; see also Figure 4 and corresponding text; see also Figure 4C, Element No. 58C and corresponding text

Given the intended broad application of the Miller system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to

Application/Control Number: 09/475,653

Art Unit: 2161

Modify the teachings of Miller with the teachings of Austin to generate a customized noticed of the new email per the user profile database to increase the flexibility of the system by reaching a larger user community, especially when the user is able to specify more then one type of a delivery for the email in his/her profile.

Regarding Claims 2, 11, 16 and 21, Miller teaches receiving the user profile (Figure 4c; see also Col 4, Lines 41-51; see also Figure 11).

Regarding Claims 6, 12 and 25, Miller teaches secure manner (Figure 4a – 4b; l.e. the security option on the top tool bar).

Regarding Claims 8, 15 and 20, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejected claim 1, above. In addition Miller teaches memory and processor (Col 3, Lines 53-65).

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/475,653

Art Unit: 2161

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Points of Contact

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haythim J. Alaubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (571) 272-4023.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or telefax at our fax number (703) 872-9306.

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Haythim J. Alaubaidi

Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2100
Art Unite 2161

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 6